

To: Bacalan, Vince[Bacalan.Vince@epa.gov]
From: Yelensky, Erica
Sent: Mon 8/14/2017 8:00:21 PM
Subject: RE: Santa Monica NEP presentation

Hi Vince,

Thanks for the quick feedback. Still recovering from traveling yesterday and jet lag, but we had a good trip. If you have a chance, I recommend visiting Montréal- lots of good food (croissants, bagels), more restaurants per capita than New York, friendly people, good transit, etc. Nova Scotia was beautiful, but lots of driving required.

I responded to your questions below in caps.

Erica

From: Bacalan, Vince
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2017 12:21 PM
To: Yelensky, Erica <Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Santa Monica NEP presentation

Hi Erica,

Welcome back from your vacation. Hope it was refreshing and you are coming back eager to do more work!

I looked at the presentation and it looks good to me. Are you planning to share this advance copy with Tom? YES. ALREADY DID THIS AM. AWAITING FEEDBACK.

I only ask because I noticed in the table breakdown of all NEPs that TBF is identified as the 'host' (slide #4). I'd love to hear back what the reaction is from the group when they hear where

everyone fits in with the governance structure. This reporting out is much needed and it sets them off to begin meaningful discussions about structure, BRP revision, and overall engagement.

I BELIEVE TOM HAS ALREADY SEEN THIS SIDE IN A PRESENTATION SAM DID EARLIER THIS SUMMER.

I'm sure you are aware of the distinction between an update versus a revision. I only mention this because people might ask why this 'revision' is taking place even though the last CCMP iteration was only 4 years ago (slide 4). Or to use as an opportunity to use consistent terminology because an 'update' in NEP terms may be equated to a 'revision' as called out in the checklist (slide 6). So just be aware of this. YES, WE ARE AWARE OF THIS AND WILL BE SURE TO MAKE THE DISTINCTION. THANKS.

Slide 10: EPA's oversight role is guided by the checklist for reference point, which means progress/proposed plans should be captured in writing as much as possible (no need to write this out but should be mentioned...and Tom agrees with). I had a similar update with New York-New Jersey and they essentially provided a plan of attack in tabular format.

WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY 'TABULAR FORMAT?' It was very helpful (like Tampa's 'Roadmap' document).

Hope these are helpful. If there is anything else, you know how to reach me.

Vince

From: Yelensky, Erica
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2017 12:53 PM
To: Bacalan, Vince <Bacalan.Vince@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Santa Monica NEP presentation

Hi Vince,

Hope you're doing well.

Sam and I are attending the Santa Monica Bay restoration commission governing board meeting this Thursday and, as you know, I'll be giving a brief presentation on our role in the CCMP revision process. The draft presentation is attached. Let me know if you have any questions or comments. Thanks.

Erica

From: Ziegler, Sam
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2017 9:33 AM
To: Woo, Nancy <Woo.Nancy@epa.gov>
Cc: Yelensky, Erica <Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov>
Subject: Santa Monica NEP presentation

Hi Nancy:

On Thursday Erica and I are attending the Santa Monica Restoration Commission Governing Board meeting. Erica will be providing a brief presentation to help initiate the process of revising their CCMP known as the Santa Monica Bay Restoration Plan. Attached is our draft presentation. Let us know if you have any questions or comments.

Sam Ziegler
Chief, Watersheds Section

U.S. EPA Region 9, Water Division



United States Environmental Protection Agency

75 Hawthorne Street (WTR-2-2)
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3399

ziegler.sam@epa.gov

R9 Watershed Priorities at <http://www.epa.gov/region09/water/watershed/index.html>